par. 1 - Det. McLaughlin interviewed at the office of attorney, Robert Upton of Concord, NH. This explains the phone calls to my '800' number from this law firm in Concord as described below.

par. 2 - states that his mother disclosed the allegations made by then alleged to her that he had similar experiences. Both and and in their reports indicate that they did not give their mother any specifics.

par.5 - states that he cannot remember the car he alleges I assaulted him in when he claims I drove he and to the airport. This is a list of the cars I have owned and the approximate dates:

NB: states that he cannot remember the kind of car I drove, yet in his interview of March 19, 1993 (P 006 par 5) states that he spotted my car parked in an alley in the "gay section" of Manchester (I didn't even know Manchester had a "gay section" and, if so, what was doing there?_. In Det. McLaughlin's 1988 interview with she stated that Spotted my car "in a rough neighborhood in Manchester." It seems odd that states that he cannot remember what kind of car I was driving at the time, but remembers that he spotted it in Manchester in an alley. He states that he left a note on my car. I remember mother telling me that a note on my car while it was in Manchester, but I told here that I was not in Manchester at the time so it was the wrong car. I also remember hearing something from about being beaten up while he lived in Manchester. (Det. Mclaughlin asked in the March interview if he suspects that I beat him up or hired others to do so.) I remember then going to see to see if he was okay, and he had been badly beaten. He would not talk with me about what had happened except to say he was someplace he should not have been.

I was frequently in Manchester, and near the place describes (the Beech-Hanover-Pine Street area).

attended weekly therapy sessions at an office owned by the Diocese on Concord Street - a few blocks from where describes. The Diocesan Chancery office was also located on Ash Street - one to two blocks from where describes. It may be that he did see my car near there frequently, but how could he remember my car out of 100,000 others and now say he can't remember the car he was riding in?

par.6 - Det. McLaughlin states that is listed in the earlier report as being 15 years old in the first half of 1982. McLaughlin corrects this to read 14 years of age. In the report on the meeting with attorney and the Cheshire County Attorney (cf report of March 16, 1993 P 001, par 1) McLaughlin theorizes that "It has been my experience with adult male survivors of sexual abuse that they frequently recall their being younger than they actually were at the onset of the sexual abuse." This is an attempt to explain why all allegations happening approximately two years prior to my arrival in each place. on the other hand, reports himself to be a year older than he actually was at the time. McLaughlin then alleges that the incident described occurred in the first half of 1982. As stated in my chronology, I was assigned in Groveton, NH from January until July of 1982. Groveton is 158 miles one way driving distance from Marlborough, NH.

par. 7 - describes my first floor office as located on the Main Street side of the rectory in the SE corner nearest to the church building. This was so, however this was not my office until Fr. Daniel Dupuis vacated it in August, 1983.

par. 1 - also states that I would lock the door to my office when he would see me. This office did not have a lock at that time. I believe that a check with the staff at that time would indicate this.

par. 9 - Indicates here that he told and a counselor at Derby Lodge, about the alleged sexual abuse. he then claims that told me and i then confronted and threatened him. I do recall She actually was a friend of Fr. Moe Rochefort who was a friend of mine, and when I asked him if he

knew anyone at Derby Lodge he gave me her name. I had never met rior to my bringing to Derby Lodge and I never saw again after this. If told a counselor at Derby Lodge about allegations of sexual abuse by me wouldn't that counselor have had an obligation under NH law to report this? I believe a check with would reveal that this is untrue. I do not believe I ever met prior to bringing to Derby Lodge for treatment.

par 6 - states that the counting room at the church was in the basement of the rectory. The counting room at the church was moved to the basement of the rectory for security reasons by Father Stephen Scruton after he arrived at the parish in June of 1985. Up until that time it was located on the second floor of the rectory where Mrs. Houle, Father Houle's mother, lived. She used to count the Sunday collection.

par. 8ff - Det. McLaughlin states that he, and attorney, Robert Upton of the law firm of Upton, Sheeney and Bass in Concord, NH, attempted to call me in New Mexico at my place of employment. The receptionist, who was temporary and filling in for the full time receptionist told the caller, who identified himself as I was no longer there and could be reached at 1-800-484-She did this at my request and the reason is identified below. Det. McLaughlin states that he then attempted to call the '800' number and received a recording. He then states that he attempted the '800' number again the next day and received another recording. I have attached a copy of a telephone bill indicating that Det. McLaughlin and Attorney Upton attempted to call this number two times on April 1 from Attorney Upton's office. There is also one call on April 2, two on April 10, and two on April 13. These calls are all made from Det. McLaughlin's office in Keene.

Sometime prior to this I had received two calls at work from someone claiming to be available either time and the individual calling refused to leave a message. I was very suspicious and doubted that these calls were really from the three letters is received the previous September and October were not from

I knew this because the content in the letters about sexual contact between and I was false. I felt that even if the was telling someone else a fabrication for the purpose of extorting money, why would he tell me? He would know that both of us would be fully aware that the behaviors described in his letters never took place. Also, all three letters were from a Keene Post Office Box. I could not understand why would be using a P.O. Box as his return address. Because I was suspicious about the identity of the caller I took certain steps to learn the identity of the caller, or at least where the person was calling from.

For quite some time I have had a personal '800' number from MCI at my home. I did this so that my mother and sister could call me at any time and the toll would appear on my phone bill and not theirs. I asked the personnel in the receptionists office where I work to give this '800' number to any person calling for me and identifying They already knew that this himself as person was a suspicious caller because he would always refuse to leave a message or a number where I could return his call. The nature of my job was such that I could rarely take calls directly and usually had to call a person back. It was just by chance that the temporary receptionist took this same step when my '800' number and told him that She gave I could be reached at that number. I now knew that if this individual called this number and received my answering machine message I would then have a record of where the person was calling from. The originating number would appear on my telephone bill.

On April 10, 1993, (Saturday), I received a call at home from a person whose voice I recognized, He did not recognize my voice, however. Asked "Is Gordon MacRae there?" I asked who is calling please and he said I realized he did not recognize my voice, which I made no attempt to disguise. I asked "What number are you calling?" He responded: 1-800-484-9675. Without my saying anything else he said "I must have dialed it wrong or something." I said okay, and he hung up. A few minutes later he called again and this time I let my answering machine answer. The attached copy of my phone bill indicates that these calls were not from Doc. Indicate that these calls were

Department. I now knew that it was who had been trying to call me and I assumed that he had also called using the name of land I also assumed now, wrongly, that it was who wrote the three letters the previous September and October claiming to be and fabricating this story about sexual involvement. I thought was attempting to extort money somehow. This would be somewhat consistent behavior for

On the following Monday I called MCI to determine the originating number of the calls. MCI told me that this could not be determined for a few weeks and to call back in two weeks. On April 30, I received another call at my office. The receptionist said it was asked if I want to take it. She said that I was unavailable and he said "Just give me extension 28". I told her I would take the call. The attached transcript of the call was provided by Det. McLaughlin. At the time I did not know it was from Det. McLaughlin's office. conversation made a cryptic reference to "tapes with kids" he alleges he found in my apartment (A55 and A56). Now I felt certain that was attempting to extort money. He even made reference to having received a phone message from a Keene detective but that he didn't call him back. The family would have been aware and his family filed from the newspapers that suit against me and against the Diocese of Manchester in April of 1990 and that this suit includes fictitious accusations that I took obscene photographs of Det. McLaughlin had also accused me of this in There were never any such September of 1989. photographs, tapes, etc., nor was there ever an attempt to create them. I felt that aware of the nature of the suit, was going to offer to withhold his false statements about seeing such tapes for an amount of money.

After I hung up the telephone I called Stephen Bragdon, an attorney in Keene who is representing me in the suit. I told Bragdon about the call from and I then sent Bragdon the letters I had received from the previous September and October. Attorney Bragdon also stated that it sounded like someone who was going to ask for money. Bragdon told me to keep him informed if I heard from this individual again and he said he would make a notation of this call and send me a letter.

Now, having read this Discovery and learned that the letters were actually written from Det. McLaughlin and call of April 30, 1993 was made from McLaughlin's office and taped by McLaughlin, it is of note that makes no mention of allegations that I sexually abused him. He only mentions allegations that would support the suit against the Diocese of Manchester if I admitted that the fabrications about the tapes were actually true. They are not true, for what it is now worth.

It was not until after my arrest on the NH warrant that I again called MCI and learned that the calls made by were from an attorney's office in Concord and Det. McLaughlin's office in Keene.

IS THIRE A CONT CROER FOR THE WINK